

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
09/846,588	GOLDMAN ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Quang Nguyen, Ph.D.	1633	

All Participants:(1) Michael L. Goldman.**Status of Application:** After-Final

(3) _____.

(2) Quang Nguyen.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 1 November 2005**Time:** 4:00-5:00 pm**Type of Interview:**

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

N/A

Claims discussed:

After-final amended claims

Prior art documents discussed:

N/A

Part II.**SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:**

See Continuation Sheet

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

**DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**
[Signature]

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner contacted attorney Goldman to propose amendments as they appear in the examiner's amendment to reflect the allowable subject matter identified in the personal interview held on 8/2/05. Attorney Goldman accepted the proposed amendments on 11/01/05.



**DAVE TRONG NGUYEN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER**